FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

VOLUME 2 OF 4



KERN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND INCORPORATED AREAS

COMMUNITY NAME	NUMBER	COMMUNITY NAME	NUMBER
Arvin, City of	060076	McFarland, City of	060080
Bakersfield, City of	060077	Ridgecrest, City of	060081
California City, City of	060440	Shafter, City of	060082
Delano, City of	060078	Taft, City of	065063
Kern County, Unincorporated Areas	060075	Tehachapi, City of	060084
Maricopa, City of	060079	Wasco, City of	060085

REVISED: October 21, 2021

FLOOD INSURANCE STUDY NUMBER 06029CV002B Version Number 2.6.3.6



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Volume 1

 SECTION 1.0 - INTRODUCTION 1.1 The National Flood Insurance Program 1.2 Purpose of this Flood Insurance Study Report 1.3 Jurisdictions Included in the Flood Insurance Study Project 1.4 Considerations for using this Flood Insurance Study Report 	1 1 2 2 12
 SECTION 2.0 – FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT APPLICATIONS 2.1 Floodplain Boundaries 2.2 Floodways 2.3 Base Flood Elevations 2.4 Non-Encroachment Zones 2.5 Coastal Flood Hazard Areas 2.5.1 Water Elevations and the Effects of Waves 2.5.2 Floodplain Boundaries and BFEs for Coastal Areas 2.5.3 Coastal High Hazard Areas 2.5.4 Limit of Moderate Wave Action 	25 25 31 32 33 33 33 33 33 33 34
SECTION 3.0 – INSURANCE APPLICATIONS 3.1 National Flood Insurance Program Insurance Zones	34 34
 SECTION 4.0 – AREA STUDIED 4.1 Basin Description 4.2 Principal Flood Problems 4.3 Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures 4.4 Levees 	34 36 39 40
 SECTION 5.0 - ENGINEERING METHODS 5.1 Hydrologic Analyses 5.2 Hydraulic Analyses 5.3 Coastal Analyses 5.3.1 Total Stillwater Elevations 5.3.2 Waves 5.3.3 Coastal Erosion 5.3.4 Wave Hazard Analyses 5.4 Alluvial Fan Analyses 	53 53 59 67 67 67 67 68 68
 SECTION 6.0 – MAPPING METHODS 6.1 Vertical and Horizontal Control 6.2 Base Map 6.3 Floodplain and Floodway Delineation 	70 70 72 73
Volume 2	

6.4	Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping	114
6.5	FIRM Revisions	114

	6.5.1	Letters of Map Amendment	114
	6.5.2	Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill	114
	6.5.3	Letters of Map Revision	115
	6.5.4	Physical Map Revisions	115
	6.5.5	Contracted Restudies	116
	6.5.6	Community Map History	116
SECTI	ON 7.0	- CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION	118
7.1	Contra	cted Studies	118
7.2	Comm	unity Meetings	120
SECT	ON 8.0	- ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	124
SECT		- BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES	125
02011			.20
		_ .	

Figures

Page

Figure 1: FIRM Index	14
Figure 2: FIRM Notes to Users	18
Figure 3: Map Legend for FIRM	21
Figure 4: Floodway Schematic	32
Figure 5: Wave Runup Transect Schematic	33
Figure 6: Coastal Transect Schematic	33
Figure 7: Frequency Discharge-Drainage Area Curves	58
Figure 8: 1% Annual Chance Total Stillwater Elevations for Coastal Areas	67
Figure 9: Transect Location Map	68

<u>Tables</u>

Page

Table 1: Listing of NFIP Jurisdictions	3
Table 2: Flooding Sources Included in this FIS Report	27
Table 3: Flood Zone Designations by Community	34
Table 4: Basin Characteristics	35
Table 5: Principal Flood Problems	36
Table 6: Historic Flooding Elevations	39
Table 7: Non-Levee Flood Protection Measures	39
Table 8: Levees	42
Table 9: Summary of Discharges	54
Table 10: Summary of Non-Coastal Stillwater Elevations	59
Table 11: Stream Gage Information used to Determine Discharges	59
Table 12: Summary of Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses	61
Table 13: Roughness Coefficients	66
Table 14: Summary of Coastal Analyses	67

Table 15: Tide Gage Analysis Specifics	67
Table 16: Coastal Transect Parameters	68
Table 17: Summary of Alluvial Fan Analyses	69
Table 18: Results of Alluvial Fan Analyses	70
Table 19: Countywide Vertical Datum Conversion	71
Table 20: Stream-Based Vertical Datum Conversion	71
Table 21: Base Map Sources	72
Table 22: Summary of Topographic Elevation Data used in Mapping	74
Table 23: Floodway Data	77

Volume 2

Table 23: Floodway Data	102
Table 24: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams	114
Table 25: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations	114
Table 26: Incorporated Letters of Map Change	115
Table 27: Community Map History	117
Table 28: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report	118
Table 29: Community Meetings	121
Table 30: Map Repositories	124
Table 31: Additional Information	125
Table 32: Bibliography and References	126

<u>Exhibits</u>

Flood Profiles	Panel
Antelope Creek	01P-06P
Blackburn Creek	07P-09P
Bodfish Creek	10P-13P
Boron Avenue Creek	14P-19P
Cache Creek	20P-25P
Caliente Creek	26P-28P
Caliente Creek near Loraine	29P-34P
Caliente Creek Tributary 1	35P-36P
Cottonwood Creek	37P-41P
Cuddy Creek	42P-63P
El Paso Wash	64P-66P
Erskine Creek	67P-72P

Volume 3

Exhibits

Flood Profiles	<u>Panel</u>
Indian Creek	73P-74P
Jawbone Canyon Wash	75P-80P
Kern River at Kernville	81P-87P
Kern River - With Consideration of Levees	88P-143P
Kern River - Without Consideration of Levees	144P-147P

Volume 4

Exhibits

Flood Profiles	Panel
Little Dixie Wash	148P-151P
North Sandy Creek	152P
Poso Creek	153P-157P
Ranger Station Creek	158P-160P
Sandy Creek	161P-164P
South Branch Poso Creek	165P-166P
South Fork Kern River	167P-174P
Tierra Del Sol Creek	175P-185P
Upper Sycamore Creek	186P-189P
Weaver Creek	190P-192P

Published Separately

Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)

	FLOODING SOL	FLOODWAY		1-PERCENT-ANNUAL-CHANCE FLOOD WATER-SURFACE ELEVATION (FEET NAVD)					
	CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
	Little Dixie Wash A B C D E F G	0 170 1,550 2,925 4,655 5,735 7,135	379 439 115 200 90 150 325	2,151 1,639 432 852 396 773 709	2.1 2.8 10.7 5.4 11.6 5.9 6.5	2,414.0 2,414.3 2,421.7 2,430.1 2,440.9 2,449.3 2,455.8	2,414.0 2,414.3 2,421.7 2,430.1 2,440.9 2,449.3 2,455.8	2,414.9 2,415.0 2,421.8 2,430.9 2,441.9 2,449.8 2,449.8 2,456.6	0.9 0.7 0.1 0.8 1.0 0.5 0.8
TAB				F	LOODWAY	DATA			
LE 23	AND INCORPORATED AREAS			LITTLE DIXIE WASH					

FLOODING SO	URCE	F	LOODWAY		1-PERO WATER-S	CENT-ANNUA URFACE ELE	L-CHANCE FLO VATION (FEET	OOD ⁻ NAVD)
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
Poso Creek			,	, ,				
А	0	156	507	9.9	417.7	417.7	417.7	0.0
В	3,470	1,150	1,653	8.4	426.4	426.4	426.6	0.2
С	4,870	490	1,366	7.9	429.3	429.3	430.1	0.8
D	6,870	396	1,019	9.5	434.0	434.0	434.6	0.6
E	7,470	425	1,345	8.1	435.6	435.6	436.6	1.0
F	8,570	619	1,803	5.5	437.6	437.6	438.6	1.0
G	10,920	1,066	1,733	7.0	443.9	443.9	444.0	0.1
Н	12,580	1,280	2,235	5.2	447.6	447.6	448.0	0.4
I	13,680	1265	1,706	7.4	449.5	449.5	450.3	0.8
J	14,830	1,660	2,620	4.0	452.9	452.9	453.5	0.6
К	18,750	1,767	1,555	5.5	461.4	461.4	461.4	0.0
L	26,860	1,860	3,172	6.0	483.1	483.1	483.2	0.1
M	29,160	1,646	4,016	4.7	488.6	488.6	488.9	0.3
N	30,510	1,715	3,199	5.9	491.6	491.6	492.0	0.4
0	31,610	1,627	3,450	5.5	495.6	495.6	495.6	0.0
Р	34,060	1,750	4,761	4.0	499.8	499.8	500.7	0.9
Q	35,410	948	2,866	6.6	502.9	502.9	502.9	0.0
R	36,660	1,189	6,700	2.8	504.2	504.2	504.5	0.3
S	38,000	324	1526	12.4	504.9	504.9	504.9	0.0
1	39,450	519	2126	8.9	512.4	512.4	512.5	0.1
U	40,900	473	2319	8.2	516.8	516.8	516.9	0.1
V	41,980	573	3944	4.8	518.2	518.2	518.7	0.5
VV	43,360	241	1383	13.7	519.2	519.2	519.4	0.2
A Y	44,810 45,380	236	1587	13.3	525.3 528.7	525.3 528.7	526.2 528.8	0.9
eet Above State Highway 99								
					I	LOODWAY	DATA	
	ONTT, CALI	REAS						

	FLOODING SOUF	RCE	F	LOODWAY		1-PERO WATER-S	CENT-ANNUA	-CHANCE FLO /ATION (FEET	DOD NAVD)
	CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
	Ranger Station Creek A B C D E F	810 1,140 1,965 2,600 3,050 3,470 3,470	217 73 103 73 115 69 ²	519 296 351 306 453 299	6.5 11.5 9.7 11.1 7.5 11.4	5,155.9 5,161.1 5,176.6 5,186.0 5,189.6 5,195.2	5,155.9 5,161.1 5,176.6 5,186.0 5,189.6 5,195.2	5,156.1 5,161.2 5,176.8 5,186.1 5,190.5 5,195.5	0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.9 0.3
TΔB	FEDERAL EMERGE		INT AGENCY			F	LOODWAY	DATA	
LE 23	KERN COU AND INCC		RANG	ER STATI		ζ.			

FLOODING SO	JRCE	F	LOODWAY		1-PER WATER-S	CENT-ANNUAL	-CHANCE FLO VATION (FEET	DOD NAVD)
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
Sandy Creek			,	,				
А	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
В	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
С	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
D	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Е	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
F	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
G	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
с Н	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
1	<u>_</u> 2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
1	<u>_</u> 2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
ĸ	₂	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
I.	2	2	2	2	2	2		2
	2	2	2	₂	2	2	2	2
N	<u>_</u> 2	2	2	<u>_</u> 2	2	2	2	2
	<u>_</u> 2	<u>_</u> 2	₂	<u>_</u> 2	<u>_</u> 2	₂	₂	2
U	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
P	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Q	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
R	2		2	2			2	
5	2	2	2	2	2		2	
I	1			2		^		
U	15,352 '	475		2	1,001.0		2	
V				²		²	2	2
W		2	2	2	2	²	2	
Х	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Y	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Z	17,692 ¹	167	2	2	1,059.3	2	2	2

¹ Feet Above Confluence With North Sandy Creek

² Data Not Available

TABLE 23

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY KERN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

FLOODWAY DATA

AND INCORPORATED AREAS

SANDY CREEK

	FLOODING SOU	RCE	F	LOODWAY					
	CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
	Sandy Creek								
	(Cont) AA	17 692 ¹	167	2	2	1 059 3	2	2	2
	AB	19.092 ¹	94	2	2	1.095.7	2	2	2
	AC	20.752 ¹	98	2	2	1,140.2	2	2	2
	AD	21,652 ¹	282	2	2	1,172.0	2	2	2
	AE	21,838 ¹	286	2	2	1,173.6	2	2	2
	AF	22,768 ¹	119	2 	2 	1,192.0	2 	2 2	2
	¹ Feet Above Confluence With North San ² Data Not Available	ldy Creek							
T	FEDERAL EMERG						FLOODWAY	DATA	
	AND INCO	ORPORATED A	REAS		SANDY CREEK				

FLOODING SOUR	CE	F	LOODWAY		1-PER WATER-S	CENT-ANNUAI	-CHANCE FL	OOD ⁻ NAVD)
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
South Branch Poso Creek			,	,				
A B C D E	0 1,000 2,650 4,800 7,150	4,442 1,720 1,485 1,073 1,713	10,811 5,579 3,109 2,146 3,432	1.0 1.9 3.4 4.9 3.1	445.7 446.2 447.3 453.1 458.2	445.7 446.2 447.3 453.1 458.2	446.7 446.9 448.0 453.8 458.8	1.0 0.7 0.7 0.6
¹ Feet Above State Highway 99 FEDERAL EMERGEI		NT AGENCY				LOODWAY	DATA	
KERN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA AND INCORPORATED AREAS					SOUTH	BRANCH F	POSO CRE	EK

FLOODING SOU	RCE	F	LOODWAY		WATER-S	URFACE ELE	VATION (FEET	NAVD)
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
South Fork Kern River			,	,				
А	0	2,350	8,284	3.9	2,614.1	2,600.4 ²	2,601.4	1.0
В	2,240	2,970	5,977	5.4	2,614.1	2,608.9 ²	2,608.9	0.0
С	4,470	2,280	9,854	3.2	2,617.5	2,617.5	2,618.1	0.6
D	6,170	2,107	8,820	3.5	2,621.7	2,621.7	2,622.0	0.3
E	6,270	2,000	6,524	4.7	2,621.8	2,621.8	2,622.1	0.3
F	6,303	2,000	13,427	2.3	2,625.7	2,625.7	2,625.7	0.0
G	6,403	2,120	12,591	2.4	2,625.7	2,625.7	2,625.7	0.0
Н	9,103	2,110	3,420	8.9	2,628.3	2,628.3	2,628.6	0.3
I	11,953	2,340	9,600	3.0	2,637.4	2,637.4	2,637.8	0.4
J	13,733	1,350	4,226	6.5	2,640.5	2,640.5	2,640.8	0.3
К	15,373	980	5,622	4.9	2,645.6	2,645.6	2,645.9	0.3
L	18,013	2,446	3,447	7.6	2,654.9	2,654.9	2,654.9	0.0
M	18,114	2,450	4,010	6.5	2,658.0	2,658.0	2,658.3	0.3
N	18,155	2,450	6,712	3.9	2,659.0	2,659.0	2,659.5	0.5
0	18,256	2,500	10,747	2.2	2,659.2	2,659.2	2,659.8	0.6
Р	19,896	1,600	5,771	3.8	2,660.6	2,660.6	2,660.9	0.3
Q	21,971	1,270	3,712	6.0	2,667.8	2,667.8	2,667.9	0.1
R	24,146	1,470	6,195	3.6	2,674.5	2,674.5	2,675.2	0.7
S	26,146	1,000	3,447	6.4	2,680.6	2,680.6	2,681.0	0.4
Т	27,946	1,450	6,224	3.6	2,686.6	2,686.6	2,687.2	0.6
U	29,871	2,050	6,144	3.6	2,691.0	2,691.0	2,691.3	0.3
V	31,941	1,250	4,446	5.0	2,697.2	2,697.2	2,697.8	0.6
W	33,966	1,190	5,170	4.3	2,702.3	2,702.3	2,703.0	0.7
X	35,726	1,240	5,485	4.0	2,705.5	2,705.5	2,706.2	0.7
Y	37,386	1,000	5,435	4.1	2,707.9	2,707.9	2,708.5	0.6
Z	37,616	1,320	10,461	2.1	2,712.8	2,712.8	2,712.9	0.1

TABLE 23

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

KERN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

AND INCORPORATED AREAS

FLOODWAY DATA

SOUTH FORK KERN RIVER

FLOODING SOU	RCE	F	LOODWAY		1-PER WATER-S	CENT-ANNUA	L-CHANCE FL	OOD ⁻ NAVD)
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
South Fork Kern River (Cont'd) AA AB AC AD AE AF AG AH	38,516 40,216 42,246 43,646 45,396 47,196 48,971 51,471	580 450 380 310 480 420 236 950	2,045 4,292 2,674 3,076 3,519 3,777 2,386 4,261	10.8 5.0 8.0 7.0 6.1 5.7 9.0 5.0	2,712.8 2,725.4 2,732.4 2,738.1 2,743.7 2,749.6 2,761.7	2,712.8 2,725.4 2,732.4 2,738.1 2,743.7 2,749.6 2,761.7	2,713.2 2,726.4 2,733.2 2,738.8 2,744.1 2,749.9 2,762.3	0.4 0.9 1.0 0.8 0.7 0.4 0.3 0.6
Feet Above Limit of Detailed Study		ENT AGENCY				FLOODWAY	DATA	
	NTY, CALII	F ORNIA REAS			SOUT	H FORK K	ERN RIVE	र

FLOODING SOU	RCE	F	LOODWAY		1-PER WATER-S	CENT-ANNUAI	L-CHANCE FL VATION (FEET	OOD ⁻ NAVD)		
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE		
Tierra del Sol Creek	1		<u>í</u>							
А	220	200	532	4.3	2,310.0	2,310.0	2,311.0	1.0		
В	700	135	367	7.6	2,314.1	2,314.1	2,314.3	0.2		
С	1,720	165	646	4.3	2,321.5	2,321.5	2,322.0	0.5		
D	2,250	320	485	5.8	2,326.1	2,326.1	2,326.3	0.2		
E	2,472	370	685	4.1	2,327.0	2,327.0	2,327.7	0.7		
F	2,742	385	1,447	1.9	2,328.4	2,328.4	2,328.7	0.3		
G	4,172	265	578	4.8	2,331.3	2,331.3	2,331.8	0.5		
н	5,452	221	605	4.6	2,341.5	2,341.5	2,342.2	0.7		
I	5,572	352	795	3.5	2,341.7	2,341.7	2,342.7	1.0		
J	6,072	108	281	9.8	2,343.8	2,343.8	2,344.1	0.3		
К	6,582	605	436	6.0	2,349.2	2,349.2	2,349.7	0.5		
L	6,742	750	1,396	1.9	2,350.4	2,350.4	2,351.1	0.7		
М	6,877	600	1,407	1.9	2,350.6	2,350.6	2,351.1	0.5		
Ν	7,307	160	552	4.8	2,350.9	2,350.9	2,351.6	0.7		
0	7,807	81	338	7.8	2,352.8	2,352.8	2,352.8	0.0		
Р	8,287	322	547	4.8	2,354.3	2,354.3	2,355.1	0.8		
Q	8,627	460	542	4.6	2,355.5	2,355.5	2,356.1	0.6		
R	8,747	410	1166	2.1	2,356.1	2,356.1	2,356.8	0.7		
S	9,042	80	439	5.7	2,356.2	2,356.2	2,356.8	0.6		
Т	9,692	80	425	5.9	2,357.4	2,357.4	2,358.1	0.7		
U	10,427	95	253	9.3	2,360.8	2,360.8	2,360.8	0.0		
V	10,562	103	348	6.8	2,362.6	2,362.6	2,362.9	0.3		
W	11,362	165	838	2.8	2,363.8	2,363.8	2,364.6	0.8		
X	12,082	139	320	7.0	2,370.1	2,370.1	2,370.5	0.4		
Y	12,482	200	558	4.0	2,371.7	2,371.7	2,372.6	0.9		
Feet Above Confluence With Cache Cr	reek	<u> </u>			<u> </u>					
		I	LOODWAY	DATA						
		TIFR								

FLOODING SOUF	RCE	F	FLOODWAY		1-PERO WATER-S	CENT-ANNUAI	-CHANCE FL /ATION (FEET	OOD NAVD)
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
Upper Sycamore Creek								
A	0	71	308	9.4	4,030.5	4,030.5	4,030.5	0.0
В	740	83	372	7.8	4,035.3	4,035.3	4,035.3	0.0
С	1,505	69	379	6.1	4,037.8	4,037.8	4,037.8	0.0
D	2,255	319	583	5.0	4,043.0	4,043.0	4,043.0	0.0
E	2,305	299	1,038	2.8	4,043.4	4,043.4	4,043.4	0.0
F	2,665	320	934	3.1	4,043.7	4,043.7	4,043.7	0.0
G	2,706	346	947	3.1	4,043.7	4,043.7	4,043.7	0.0
Н	2,966	751	2,614	1.1	4,043.9	4,043.9	4,043.9	0.0
I	3,781	1,023	3,339	0.9	4,044.0	4,044.0	4,044.0	0.0
J	4,381	261	828	3.5	4,044.0	4,044.0	4,044.0	0.0
К	4,601	176	287	7.3	4,046.2	4,046.2	4,047.2	1.0
L	5,651	415	854	2.4	4,049.2	4,049.2	4,050.2	1.0
Μ	6,751	266	346	6.0	4,052.8	4,052.8	4,053.2	0.4
N	7,491	512	900	2.3	4,055.4	4,055.4	4,055.7	0.3
0	7,566	501	907	2.3	4,062.4	4,062.4	4,063.4	1.0
Р	7,766	1,206	5,959	0.3	4,062.8	4,062.8	4,063.4	0.6
Q	8,466	365	814	2.6	4,063.0	4,063.0	4,063.8	0.8
R	9,116	304	437	4.8	4,066.6	4,066.6	4,067.3	0.7
S	9,616	153	290	7.2	4,073.8	4,073.8	4,074.1	0.3
Т	9,936	99	232	9.0	4,080.4	4,080.4	4,081.3	0.9
U	10,346	199	350	6.0	4,088.1	4,088.1	4,088.7	0.6
V	10,836	61	200	10.4	4,096.4	4,096.4	4,096.4	0.0
W	11,481	137	317	6.6	4,105.5	4,105.5	4,106.0	0.5
Х	12,011	114	286	2.2	4,106.8	4,106.8	4,107.5	0.7
Y	13,251	471	1,613	0.4	4,106.9	4,106.9	4,107.6	0.7
Z	14,191	708	2,182	0.3	4,107.0	4,107.0	4,107.7	0.7
Feet Above Limit of Detailed Study	1	I	<u> </u>					
		F	LOODWAY	DATA				
AND INCORPORATED AREAS								

FLOODING SOUF	RCE	F	LOODWAY		1-PER WATER-S	CENT-ANNUA	L-CHANCE FL VATION (FEET	OOD ⁻ NAVD)				
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE				
Upper Sycamore Creek (Cont'd) AA AB AC AD AE AF AG	15,101 15,801 16,576 17,351 18,791 20,191 21,151	985 838 428 246 176 98 421	3,808 2,873 617 279 149 88 322	0.2 0.2 1.0 2.3 3.2 5.5 1.0	4,107.0 4,107.3 4,108.8 4,116.2 4,146.6 4,172.7	4,107.0 4,107.3 4,108.8 4,116.2 4,146.6 4,172.7	4,107.7 4,107.7 4,107.8 4,108.9 4,116.3 4,146.6 4,172.7	0.7 0.5 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.0				
					I	FLOODWAY	DATA					
	RPORATED A	REAS		UPPER SYCAMORE CREEK				UPPER SYCAMORE CREEK				

FLOODING SOU	RCE	F	LOODWAY		1-PERO WATER-S	CENT-ANNUAI	CHANCE FLO /ATION (FEET	OOD NAVD)
CROSS SECTION	DISTANCE ¹	WIDTH (FEET)	SECTION AREA (SQUARE FEET)	MEAN VELOCITY (FEET PER SECOND)	REGULATORY	WITHOUT FLOODWAY	WITH FLOODWAY	INCREASE
Veaver Creek A B C D E F G H I J K L M	1,000 1,621 2,100 2,678 3,266 3,856 4,408 4,690 5,125 5,697 6,366 6,926 7,478	276 117 112 132 261 205 328 286 327 105 159 238 137	476 317 397 301 495 246 461 343 332 254 380 389 308	9.5 14.2 11.3 14.9 9.1 18.3 9.8 13.1 13.6 17.7 11.9 11.6 14.6	2,877.9 2,884.4 2,895.1 2,905.6 2,920.5 2,927.8 2,943.2 2,948.8 2,958.8 2,970.6 2,989.9 3,001.7 3,016.2	2,877.9 2,884.4 2,895.1 2,905.6 2,920.5 2,927.8 2,943.2 2,948.8 2,958.8 2,970.6 2,989.9 3,001.7 3,016.2	2,877.9 2,884.4 2,895.1 2,905.6 2,920.5 2,927.8 2,943.2 2,948.8 2,958.8 2,970.6 2,989.9 3,001.7 3,016.2	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
						LOODWAY	DATA	
AND INCORPORATED AREAS WEAVER CREEK								

Table 24: Flood Hazard and Non-Encroachment Data for Selected Streams[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

6.4 Coastal Flood Hazard Mapping

This section is not applicable to the Flood Risk Project.

Table 25: Summary of Coastal Transect Mapping Considerations[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

6.5 **FIRM Revisions**

This FIS Report and the FIRM are based on the most up-to-date information available to FEMA at the time of its publication; however, flood hazard conditions change over time. Communities or private parties may request flood map revisions at any time. Certain types of requests require submission of supporting data. FEMA may also initiate a revision. Revisions may take several forms, including Letters of Map Amendment (LOMAs), Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill (LOMR-Fs), Letters of Map Revision (LOMRs) (referred to collectively as Letters of Map Change (LOMCs)), Physical Map Revisions (PMRs), and FEMA-contracted restudies. These types of revisions are further described below. Some of these types of revisions do not result in the republishing of the FIS Report. To assure that any user is aware of all revisions, it is advisable to contact the community repository of flood-hazard data (shown in Table 30, "Map Repositories").

6.5.1 Letters of Map Amendment

A LOMA is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMA results from an administrative process that involves the review of scientific or technical data submitted by the owner or lessee of property who believes the property has incorrectly been included in a designated SFHA. A LOMA amends the currently effective FEMA map and establishes that a specific property is not located in a SFHA.

To obtain an application for a LOMA, visit <u>https://www.fema.gov/letter-map-amendment-loma</u> and download the form "MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill". Visit the "Flood Map-Related Fees" section to determine the cost, if any, of applying for a LOMA.

FEMA offers a tutorial on how to apply for a LOMA. The LOMA Tutorial Series can be accessed at <u>https://www.fema.gov/online-tutorials</u>.

For more information about how to apply for a LOMA, call the FEMA Map Information eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627).

6.5.2 Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill

A LOMR-F is an official revision by letter to an effective NFIP map. A LOMR-F states

FEMA's determination concerning whether a structure or parcel has been elevated on fill above the base flood elevation and is, therefore, excluded from the SFHA.

Information about obtaining an application for a LOMR-F can be obtained in the same manner as that for a LOMA, by visiting <u>https://www.fema.gov/letter-map-amendment-loma</u> for the "MT-1 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional and Final Letters of Map Amendment and Letters of Map Revision Based on Fill" or by calling the FEMA Map Information eXchange, toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627). Fees for applying for a LOMR-F, if any, are listed in the "Flood Map-Related Fees" section.

A tutorial for LOMR-F is available at <u>https://www.fema.gov/online-tutorials</u>.

6.5.3 Letters of Map Revision

A LOMR is an official revision to the currently effective FEMA map. It is used to change flood zones, floodplain and floodway delineations, flood elevations and planimetric features. All requests for LOMRs should be made to FEMA through the chief executive officer of the community, since it is the community that must adopt any changes and revisions to the map. If the request for a LOMR is not submitted through the chief executive officer of the community, evidence must be submitted that the community has been notified of the request.

To obtain an application for a LOMR, visit <u>https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/</u> <u>documents/1343</u> and download the form "MT-2 Application Forms and Instructions for Conditional Letters of Map Revision and Letters of Map Revision". Visit the "Flood Map-Related Fees" section to determine the cost of applying for a LOMR. For more information about how to apply for a LOMR, call the FEMA Map Information eXchange; toll free, at 1-877-FEMA MAP (1-877-336-2627) to speak to a Map Specialist.

Previously issued mappable LOMCs (including LOMRs) that have been incorporated into the Kern County FIRM are listed in Table 26. Please note that this table only includes LOMCs that have been issued on the FIRM panels updated by this map revision. For all other areas within this county, users should be aware that revisions to the FIS Report made by prior LOMRs may not be reflected herein and users will need to continue to use the previously issued LOMRs to obtain the most current data.

Table 26: Incorporated Letters of Map Change

[Not Applicable to this Flood Risk Project]

6.5.4 Physical Map Revisions

A Physical Map Revisions (PMR) is an official republication of a community's NFIP map to effect changes to base flood elevations, floodplain boundary delineations, regulatory floodways and planimetric features. These changes typically occur as a result of structural works or improvements, annexations resulting in additional flood hazard areas or correction to base flood elevations or SFHAs.

The community's chief executive officer must submit scientific and technical data to FEMA to support the request for a PMR. The data will be analyzed and the map will be revised if warranted. The community is provided with copies of the revised information and is

afforded a review period. When the base flood elevations are changed, a 90-day appeal period is provided. A 6-month adoption period for formal approval of the revised map(s) is also provided.

For more information about the PMR process, please visit <u>https://www.fema.gov</u> and visit the "Flood Map Revision Processes" section.

6.5.5 Contracted Restudies

The NFIP provides for a periodic review and restudy of flood hazards within a given community. FEMA accomplishes this through a national watershed-based mapping needs assessment strategy, known as the Coordinated Needs Management Strategy (CNMS). The CNMS is used by FEMA to assign priorities and allocate funding for new flood hazard analyses used to update the FIS Report and FIRM. The goal of CNMS is to define the validity of the engineering study data within a mapped inventory. The CNMS is used to track the assessment process, document engineering gaps and their resolution, and aid in prioritization for using flood risk as a key factor for areas identified for flood map updates. Visit <u>https://www.fema.gov</u> to learn more about the CNMS or contact the FEMA Regional Office listed in Section 8 of this FIS Report.

6.5.6 Community Map History

The current FIRM presents flooding information for the entire geographic area of Kern County. Previously, separate FIRMs, Flood Hazard Boundary Maps (FHBMs) and/or Flood Boundary and Floodway Maps (FBFMs) may have been prepared for the incorporated communities and the unincorporated areas in the county that had identified SFHAs. Current and historical data relating to the maps prepared for the project area are presented in Table 27, "Community Map History." A description of each of the column headings and the source of the date is also listed below.

- Community Name includes communities falling within the geographic area shown on the FIRM, including those that fall on the boundary line, nonparticipating communities, and communities with maps that have been rescinded. Communities with No Special Flood Hazards are indicated by a footnote. If all maps (FHBM, FBFM, and FIRM) were rescinded for a community, it is not listed in this table unless SFHAs have been identified in this community.
- Initial Identification Date (First NFIP Map Published) is the date of the first NFIP map that identified flood hazards in the community. If the FHBM has been converted to a FIRM, the initial FHBM date is shown. If the community has never been mapped, the upcoming effective date or "pending" (for Preliminary FIS Reports) is shown. If the community is listed in Table 27 but not identified on the map, the community is treated as if it were unmapped.
- *Initial FHBM Effective Date* is the effective date of the first FHBM. This date may be the same date as the Initial NFIP Map Date.
- FHBM Revision Date(s) is the date(s) that the FHBM was revised, if applicable.
- Initial FIRM Effective Date is the date of the first effective FIRM for the community.
- FIRM Revision Date(s) is the date(s) the FIRM was revised, if applicable. This is

the revised date that is shown on the FIRM panel, if applicable. As countywide studies are completed or revised, each community listed should have its FIRM dates updated accordingly to reflect the date of the countywide study. Once the FIRMs exist in countywide format, as PMRs of FIRM panels within the county are completed, the FIRM Revision Dates in the table for each community affected by the PMR are updated with the date of the PMR, even if the PMR did not revise all the panels within that community.

The initial effective date for the Kern County FIRMs in countywide format was 09/26/2008.

Community Name	Initial Identification Date	Initial FHBM Effective Date	FHBM Revision Date(s)	Initial FIRM Effective Date	FIRM Revision Date(s)
Arvin, City of	08/04/1987	N/A	N/A	08/04/1987	09/26/2008
Bakersfield, City of	08/16/1974	08/16/1974	08/06/1976	05/01/1985	10/21/2021 09/26/2008
California City, City of	04/15/1977	04/15/1977	N/A	01/20/1982	09/26/2008 09/19/1984
Delano, City of ¹	09/26/2008	N/A	N/A	09/26/2008	N/A
Kern County, Unincorporated Areas	06/20/1978	06/20/1978	N/A	09/29/1986	10/21/2021 09/26/2008 09/06/1995 03/02/1994 09/28/1990 09/29/1989
Maricopa, City of	06/14/1974	06/14/1974	11/14/1975	09/24/1984	09/26/2008
McFarland, City of	06/28/1974	06/28/1974	08/15/1975	09/29/1986	09/26/2008
Ridgecrest, City of	09/06/1974	09/06/1974	02/04/1977	01/06/1982	09/26/2008
Shafter, City of	02/06/1976	02/06/1976	N/A	09/29/1989	10/21/2021 09/26/2008
Taft, City of	06/28/1974	06/28/1974	03/26/1976	09/30/1992	09/26/2008
Tehachapi, City of	07/30/1976	07/30/1976	07/26/1977	06/15/1982	09/26/2008
Wasco, City of	05/17/1974	05/17/1974	01/05/1982	07/04/1989	09/26/2008

Table 27: Community Map History

¹ This community did not have a FIRM prior to the first countywide FIRM for Kern County

SECTION 7.0 – CONTRACTED STUDIES AND COMMUNITY COORDINATION

7.1 Contracted Studies

Table 28 provides a summary of the contracted studies, by flooding source, that are included in this FIS Report.

Flooding Source	FIS Report Dated	Contractor	Number	Work Completed Date	Affected Communities
Streams studied by approximate methods	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County Unincorporated Areas, Mcfarland, City of, Ridgecrest, City of, Shafter, City of, Taft, City of, Techachapi, City of, Wasco, City of
Antelope Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County Unincorporated Areas; Tehachapi, City of
Blackburn Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County Unincorporated Areas; Tehachapi, City of
Bodfish Creek, East Nicolls Peak	09/26/2008	Gill & Pulver Engineers Inc.	EMW-89-C- 2469	June 1988	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Boron Avenue Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Cache Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709 and EMW-C- 0722	January 1983	California City, City of
Caliente Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Caliente Creek near Loraine	10/21/2021	Ricks Engineering Company	EMW-84- 1639	May 1986	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Caliente Creek Tributary 1	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Cottonwood Creek	10/21/2021	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1981	Bakersfield, City of

Table 28: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report

Table 28: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report (continued)

Flooding Source	FIS Report Dated	Contractor	Number	Work Completed Date	Affected Communities
Cuddy Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
El Paso Wash	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas; Ridgecrest, City of
Erskine Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Freeman Gulch, Grapevine Canyon, Indian Wells Canyon, Kelso Creek, Short Canyon, Short Canyon at Kelso Creek	09/26/2008	Aqua Resources, Inc. (ARI)	EMW-89-C- 2844	March 1994	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Indian Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Jawbone Canyon Wash	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Kern River	10/21/2021	Compass	HSFE60-15- D-0003	September 20, 2018	Bakersfield, City of, Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Kern River at Kernville	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Kern River- with consideration of Levees	10/21/2021	Compass	HSFE60-15- D-0003	August 2018	Bakersfield, City of, Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Kern River- without consideration of Levees	10/21/2021	Compass	HSFE60-15- D-0003	August 2018	Bakersfield, City of
Lake Isabella	10/21/2021	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas

Table 28: Summary of Contracted Studies Included in this FIS Report (continued)

Flooding Source	FIS Report Dated	Contractor	Number	Work Completed Date	Affected Communities
Little Dixie Wash	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
North Sandy Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas, Taft, City of
Poso Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Ranger Station Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Sandy Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Taft, City of
South Branch Poso Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
South Fork Kern River	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Tierra Del Sol Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709 and EMW-C- 0722	January 1983	California City, City of
Upper Sycamore Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas
Weaver Creek	09/26/2008	Boyle Engineering Corporation	H-4709	March 1984	Kern County, Unincorporated Areas

7.2 Community Meetings

The dates of the community meetings held for this Flood Risk Project and previous Flood Risk Projects are shown in Table 29. These meetings may have previously been referred to by a variety of names (Community Coordination Officer (CCO), Scoping, Discovery, etc.), but all meetings represent opportunities for FEMA, community officials, study contractors, and other invited guests to discuss the planning for and results of the project.

Table 28: Community Meetings

Community	FIS Report Dated	Date of Meeting	Meeting Type	Attended By
Anvin City of		06/29/2005	Initial CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of McFarland and Shafter and Kern County
Arvin, City of	09/26/2008	01/03/2008	Final CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of of Arvin, Bakersfield, California City, Delano, Maricopa, Ridgecrest, Taft, Tehachapi, Wasco, and Kern County
		03/14/2018	Flood Hazard Study Meeting	FEMA, City of Bakersfield and Kern County officials, Compass
Bakersfield, City of	10/21/2021	02/18/2020	Final CCO Meeting	FEMA, STARRII, Meyer Civil Engineering, Bakersfield Fire, Cities of Bakersfield and Shafter and Kern County officials
	09/26/2008	06/29/2005	Initial CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of McFarland and Shafter and Kern County
California City, City of		01/03/2008	Final CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of of Arvin, Bakersfield, California City, Delano, Maricopa, Ridgecrest, Taft, Tehachapi, Wasco, and Kern County
	09/26/2008	06/29/2005	Initial CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of McFarland and Shafter and Kern County
Delano, City of		01/03/2008	Final CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of of Arvin, Bakersfield, California City, Delano, Maricopa, Ridgecrest, Taft, Tehachapi, Wasco, and Kern County
Korp County		03/14/2018	Flood Hazard Study Meeting	FEMA, City of Bakersfield and Kern County officials, Compass
Kern County, Unincorporated Areas	10/21/2021	02/18/2020	Final CCO Meeting	FEMA, STARRII, Meyer Civil Engineering, Bakersfield Fire, Cities of Bakersfield and Shafter and Kern County officials

Community	FIS Report Dated	Date of Meeting	Meeting Type	Attended By
		06/29/2005	Initial CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of McFarland and Shafter and Kern County
Maricopa, City of	09/26/2008	01/03/2008	Final CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of of Arvin, Bakersfield, California City, Delano, Maricopa, Ridgecrest, Taft, Tehachapi, Wasco, and Kern County
		06/29/2005	Initial CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of McFarland and Shafter and Kern County
McFarland, City of	09/26/2008	01/03/2008	Final CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of of Arvin, Bakersfield, California City, Delano, Maricopa, Ridgecrest, Taft, Tehachapi, Wasco, and Kern County
	09/26/2008	06/29/2005	Initial CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of McFarland and Shafter and Kern County
Ridgecrest, City of		01/03/2008	Final CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of of Arvin, Bakersfield, California City, Delano, Maricopa, Ridgecrest, Taft, Tehachapi, Wasco, and Kern County
	10/21/2021	03/14/2018	Flood Hazard Study Meeting	FEMA, City of Bakersfield and Kern County officials, Compass
Shafter, City of		02/18/2020	Final CCO Meeting	FEMA, STARRII, Meyer Civil Engineering, Bakersfield Fire, Cities of Bakersfield and Shafter and Kern County officials
	09/26/2008	06/29/2005	Initial CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of McFarland and Shafter and Kern County
Taft, City of		01/03/2008	Final CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of of Arvin, Bakersfield, California City, Delano, Maricopa, Ridgecrest, Taft, Tehachapi, Wasco, and Kern County
Tehachapi, City of		06/29/2005	Initial CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of McFarland and Shafter and Kern County
	09/26/2008	01/03/2008	Final CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of of Arvin, Bakersfield, California City, Delano, Maricopa, Ridgecrest, Taft, Tehachapi, Wasco, and Kern County

Table 29: Community Meetings (continued)

Table 29: Community Meetings (continued)

Community	FIS Report Dated	Date of Meeting	Meeting Type	Attended By	
	09/26/2008	06/29/2005	Initial CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities or McFarland and Shafter and Kern County	
Wasco, City of		01/03/2008	Final CCO Meeting	FEMA, the study contractor, HDR Engineering, Cities of of Arvin, Bakersfield, California City, Delano, Maricopa, Ridgecrest, Taft, Tehachapi, Wasco, and Kern County	

SECTION 8.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Information concerning the pertinent data used in the preparation of this FIS Report can be obtained by submitting an order with any required payment to the FEMA Engineering Library. For more information on this process, see <u>https://www.fema.gov</u>.

The additional data that was used for this project includes the FIS Report and FIRM that were previously prepared for Kern County (FEMA 2008).

Table 30 is a list of the locations where FIRMs for Kern County can be viewed. Please note that the maps at these locations are for reference only and are not for distribution. Also, please note that only the maps for the community listed in the table are available at that particular repository. A user may need to visit another repository to view maps from an adjacent community.

Community	Address	City	State	Zip Code
Arvin, City of	City Hall 200 Campus Drive	Arvin	CA	93203
Bakersfield, City of	Development Services 1715 Chester Avenue	Bakersfield	CA	93301
California City, City of	Building Department 8001 California City Boulevard	California	CA	93505
Delano, City of	Community Development 1015 Eleventh Avenue	Delano	CA	93215
Kern County, Unincorporated Areas	Public Works Department 2700 M Street Suite 500	Bakersfield	CA	93301
Maricopa, City of	Town Administration 400 California	Maricopa	CA	93252
McFarland, City of	Public Works 401 West Kern Avenue	McFarland	CA	93250
Ridgecrest, City of	Public Works Department 100 West California Avenue	Ridgecrest	CA	93555
Shafter, City of	City Administration 336 Pacific Avenue	Shafter	CA	93263
Taft, City of	Planning Department 209 East Kern Street	Taft	CA	93268
Tehachapi, City of	City Hall 115 South Robinson Street	Tehachapi	CA	93581
Wasco, City of	Public Works 801 8 th Street	Wasco	CA	93280

Table 30: Map Repositories

The National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) dataset is a compilation of effective FIRM Databases and LOMCs. Together they create a GIS data layer for a State or Territory. The NFHL is updated as studies become effective and extracts are made available to the

public monthly. NFHL data can be viewed or ordered from the website shown in Table 31.

Table 31 contains useful contact information regarding the FIS Report, the FIRM, and other relevant flood hazard and GIS data. In addition, information about the State NFIP Coordinator and GIS Coordinator is shown in this table. At the request of FEMA, each Governor has designated an agency of State or territorial government to coordinate that State's or territory's NFIP activities. These agencies often assist communities in developing and adopting necessary floodplain management measures. State GIS Coordinators are knowledgeable about the availability and location of State and local GIS data in their state.

FEMA and the NFIP	FEMA and the NFIP					
FEMA and FEMA Engineering Library website	https://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-flood- hazard-mapping/engineering-library					
NFIP website	https://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program					
NFHL Dataset	https://msc.fema.gov					
FEMA Region IX	Federal Regional Center 1111 Broadway, Suite 1200 Oakland, CA 94607-4052 (510) 627-7181					
Other Federal Agencies						
USGS website	www.usgs.gov					
Hydraulic Engineering Center website	www.hec.usace.army.mil					
State Agencies and Organization	ons					
State NFIP Coordinator	Kelly Soule California Dept. of Water Resources 3464 El Camino Avenue Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95821 916-574-1441 <u>kelly.soule@water.ca.gov</u>					
State GIS Coordinator	David Harris, Agency Information Coordinator California Resources Agency 1416 Ninth Street, Room 1311 Sacramento, CA 95814 Tel. (916) 445 5088 <u>david.harris@resources.ca.gov</u>					

Table 31: Additional	Information
----------------------	-------------

SECTION 9.0 – BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES

Table 32 includes sources used in the preparation of and cited in this FIS Report as well as additional studies that have been conducted in the study area.

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	<i>Publication Title,</i> "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
Compass 2016a	Federal Emergency Management Agency	2016 Compass Kern County, CA PAL Hydraulics Study	Compass	Washington, D.C.	N/A	https://msc.fema.gov
Compass 2016b	Federal Emergency Management Agency	2016 Compass Kern County, CA PAL DFIRM	Compass	Washington, D.C.	N/A	https://msc.fema.gov
Cooper 1979a	Cooper Aerial Survey Company	Topographic Maps, Scale 1:4,800, Contour Interval 4 feet	Cooper Aerial Survey Company	California	September 1979	N/A
Cooper 1979b	Cooper Aerial Survey Company	Topographic Maps, Vicinity of ridge-crest	Cooper Aerial Survey Company	California	November 1979	N/A
Cooper 1979c	Cooper Aerial Survey Company	Topographic maps, Scale 1:9,600, Contour Interval 4 feet	Cooper Aerial Survey Company	California	June 1979	N/A
FEMA 1982a	Federal Emergency Management Agency	Flood Insurance Study, Kern County, CA, and Incorporated Areas	FEMA	Washington, D.C.	January 1982	N/A
FEMA 1982b	Federal Emergency Management Agency	Flood Insurance Study, Kern County, CA, and Incorporated Areas	FEMA	Washington, D.C.	January 1982	N/A

Table 32: Bibliography and References

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	<i>Publication Title,</i> "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
FEMA 2008a	Federal Emergency Management Agency	Kern County, CA Effective Data	FEMA	Washington, D.C.	September 2008	https://msc.fema.gov
FEMA 2008b	Federal Emergency Management Agency	Flood Insurance Study, Kern County, CA, and Incorporated Areas	FEMA	Washington, D.C.	September 2008	https://msc.fema.gov
KCWA 1977	Kern County Water Agency	Cooperative Stream Gaging Program	Kern County Water Agency	California	May 1977	N/A
LOMC1	Federal Emergency Management Agency	FIS, Kern County, CA	FEMA	Washington, D.C.	April 1990	https://msc.fema.gov
LOMC2	Federal Emergency Management Agency	LOMR 15-09-0191P	FEMA	Washington, D.C.	March 2015	https://msc.fema.gov
LOMC7	Federal Emergency Management Agency	LOMR 18-09-0302P	FEMA	Washington, D.C.	March 2018	https://msc.fema.gov
STARR II 2019a	Federal Emergency Management Agency	Region XI, Kern County, CA (Lake Isabella), Community-Initiated Map Change	STARR II	Washington, D.C.	October 21, 2021	https://msc.fema.gov
STARR II 2019b	Federal Emergency Management Agency	Kern County, CA PMR	STARR II	Washington, D.C.	October 21, 2021	https://msc.fema.gov

Table 32: Bibliography and References (continued)

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	<i>Publication Title,</i> "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
USACE 1968	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	<i>Hydrologic Engineering Center, Computer Program 723-X6-L202A HEC-2 WaterSurface Proifles</i>	USACE	Davis, CA	December 1968	https://www.usace.army. mil/
USACE 1976	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Hec-2 Water-Surface Profiles, Computer Program	USACE	Davis, CA	1976	https://www.usace.army. mil/
USACE 1981	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Hec-1 Flood Hydrograph Package	USACE	Davis, CA	1973	https://www.usace.army. mil/
USACE 2010	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Hec-Ras Analysis System, Hydraulic Reference Manual, Version 4.1	USACE	Davis, CA	January 2010	https://www.usace.army. mil/
USACE 2014	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	National Levee Database	USACE	Washington, D.C.	November 2014	https://www.geoplatform. usace.army.mil/home
US Census 2015	U.S. Census Bureau	Kern County, CA Tiger Streets	U.S. Census	Fort Worth, Texas	January 2015	https://www.census.gov
USDA 1965	U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation	Technical Release No. 20, Computer Program for Project Formulation- Hydrology,	USACE	Washington, D.C.	1965	N/A
USDA 1973	U.S. Department of Argriculture	Topographic Maps Scale 1:4,800, Contour Interval 4 feet	USDA	Washington, D.C.	January 1, 1973	N/A
USDA 2016	USDA FSA APFO Aerial Photography Field Office	Orthophotography	USDA	Salt Lake City Utah	September 2016	https://www.datagateway. nrcs.usda.gov

Table 32: Bibliography and References (continued)

Citation in this FIS	Publisher/ Issuer	<i>Publication Title,</i> "Article," Volume, Number, etc.	Author/Editor	Place of Publication	Publication Date/ Date of Issuance	Link
USDI 1972	U.S. Department of Interior Geological Survey	7.5 Minute Series Topographic Map Scale 1:24000, Contour Interval 5 feet	U.S. Department of Interior Geological Survey	California	1972	N/A
USDI 1954	U.S. Department of Interior Geological Survey	7.5 Minute Series Topographic Map Scale 1:24000, Contour Interval 5 feet	U.S. Department of Interior Geological Survey	California	1953	N/A
USDI 1977	U.S. Department of Interior Geological Survey	7.5 Minute Series Topographic Map Scale 1:24000, Contour Interval 5 feet	U.S. Department of Interior Geological Survey	California	Various	N/A
USGSa	United States Geological Survey	Digital Orthophoto Quadrangle	USGS	N/A	N/A	N/A
USGS 1994	United States Geological Survey	Digital Orthophoto Quadrangle	USGS	N/A	June 1994	N/A
USGS 2016	United States Geological Survey	National Hydrography Data Set	USGS	Fort Worth, Texas	January 2016	https://www.usgs.gov

Table 32: Bibliography and References (continued)













































































































































